THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE GODA RAGHURAM

WRIT PETITION NO : 17113 of 2005

-

FRIDAY, THE TWELFTH DAY OF AUGUST
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

Between:

E.Ramachandra Reddy, S/o.e.Muni Reddy
R/o.586, V.V.Mohan Road, Tirupathi, Chittor District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. State of A.P., rep by its Secretary Revenue Department, Secretariat,

Hyderabad.
2 State of A.P. rep by its Secretary Registration and Stamps Dept.,

Secretariat, Hyderabad.
3 The Mandal Revenue Officer Renigunta Mandal, Chittoor District.
4 The Sub Registrar, Renigunta, Chittoor District.

.....RESPONDENTS

The Court, at the stage of admission, made the following:

Oral order:-

This writ petition is filed alleging that the 4th respondent is refusing to register the sale deeds submitted by the petitioner in respect of the petitioner's lands in Erramareddi palem village, Renigunta mandal, Chittor district; and seeks a direction to the said respondent to register the sale deeds.

According to the petitioner, he purchased the land ad-measuring Ac. 08-44 cents in various survey numbers under different sale deeds during the year 2004; and the 4th respondent-Sub-Registrar, registered the sale deeds in his favour. The petitioner intends to sell the aforesaid lands in September, 2004, as he obtained a good offer and when he approached the 4th respondent to execute the sale deeds, he refused to register the sale deeds on the ground that the 3rd respondent vide communication dated 07-03-2005 had informed the 4th respondent that 'Erramareddi palem village is a minor Inam village and due to certain disputes a *de novo* inquiry is in progress since 2001 and the same is still pending adjudication and, therefore, any registration pertaining to the village

would be taken up only after getting confirmation from the office of the 3rd respondent. The petitioner states and rightly that the 3rd respondent has no power, authority or jurisdiction to direct the 4th respondent not to effect any registration of the petitioner's lands and the 4th respondent is obligated, in law, to register the documents, if the provisions of the Registration and Stamp Act have been complied with by him while submitting the documents for registration.

On instructions, however, learned Government Pleader submits that the petitioner has not submitted any document for registration.

Faced with this submission, learned counsel for the petitioner Mr. V. Ajay Kumar states that the writ petition be disposed of, after recording the submission of the learned Government Pleader and that the petitioner would now submit the sale deeds for registration to the 4th respondent, who may then, be directed to register the documents in accordance with law.

In view of the circumstances, the writ petition is disposed of granting liberty to the petitioner to submit any documents he seeks registration to the 4th respondent and on such submission, the 4th respondent shall consider the registration of the documents uninfluenced by the communication of the 3rd respondent dated 07-03-2005 and in accordance with law. No costs.

_____

GODA RAGHURAM, J

Dt. 12-08-2005

Pvks/*

Nb:- Furnish c.c. in four days.

B/o pvks